# Investigation of granular flow using silo centrifuge models

#### John Mathews

Supervisor: Prof. Wu

University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria

john.mathews@boku.ac.at

www.pardem.eu

Tuesday 22 October 2013





## Introduction and motivation

A silo centrifuge model has been developed to investigate silo flow behaviour at different gravities.

- Many features of silo design are only partially understood, even though discharge behaviours have been investigated for over a century.
- Empirical and phenomenological models are often used to facilitate silo design.
- ► A lack of analytical models is associated with inefficient processes.



Project overview 0●00000	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles	Numerical model	Conclusions	References

## Aims and objectives

- Understanding the effect of stress level on flow behaviour
- Establishing the scaling laws governing this behaviour

Investigate:

- Influence of gravity on flow rate
- Compare Beverloo correlation to observations at different gravities
- Influence of material properties on flow rate response to increased gravity



# Centrifuge modelling background

- Widely used in geotechnical engineering
- Early silo centrifuge models in 1970's
  - Computational and instrumentation limitations
- Scaled silo centrifuge model produces same stresses and strains in same relative locations as prototype scale (according to continuum theory)
- Quicker and cheaper than prototype scale
- Higher stresses than reduced scale models in 1g environment



 
 Project overview 0000000
 Test procedure 00000000
 Results 00000000
 Flow profiles 000000
 Numerical model 0000
 Conclusions
 References

## Theoretical background - stress equivalence

$$q_{prototype} = \frac{1}{\mu K} \frac{A}{U} \rho_{b} g \left( 1 - e^{-z} / \frac{1}{\mu K} \frac{A}{U} \right)$$

$$q_{model} = \frac{1}{\mu K} \frac{A}{N^{2}} \frac{N}{U} \rho_{b} N g \left( 1 - e^{-z} / \frac{1}{\mu K} \frac{A}{N^{2}} \frac{N^{2}}{U} \right)$$

$$(1)$$

$$\cdot$$
.  $q_{prototype} = q_{model}$ 



.



## Geotechnical centrifuge



Figure 1: Schematic sketch of Trio-Tech 1231 Geotechnical Centrifuge



Project overview 00000●0	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model	Conclusions	References

#### Table 1: Centrifuge specifications (TRIO-TECH, 1988)

Property	Value
Diameter of centrifuge [m]	3.0
Radius of swinging basket axis [m]	1.085
Motor	15HP DC
Slip rings	56
Radial acceleration [g]	0 to 200
Rotations per minute [1/min]	0 to 400
Maximum load capacity [G-kg]	10,000
Maximum model mass [kg]	90
Maximum model dimensions WxDxH [mm]	$540 \times 560 \times 560$
Total weight [kg]	2041



Project overview	Test procedure		Flow profiles		Conclusions	References
000000	0000	00000000	000000	0000		

## Design criterion

#### Modelling requirements

- More than 100 particle diameters wide
- Internal wall surfaces should be smooth
- Filling should be standardised
- Quasi-planar
- Height should be maximised

#### Research requirements

- Model silo must be observable
- Model silo should facilitate as many kinds of experiments as possible
- Various granular materials should be able to be used
- Adequate space for data loggers, camera, lights, etc.



Project overview	Test procedure	Results	Flow profiles	Numerical model	Conclusions	References
0000000	0000	00000000	000000	0000		
Silo centrifuge mode	2					

# Silo centrifuge model





Project overview	Test procedure	Flow profiles	Conclusions	References
	0000			
C11				

#### Four materials tested

#### Table 2: Material properties

Property	Fine sand	Coarse sand	Glass beads	Polyamide
Particle Diameter $\mathit{D}_{50}/\mathit{d}_1, \mathit{d}_2$ [mm]	0.4	0.8	$3.15\pm 0.1, 1.45\pm 0.1$	$0.75 \pm 0.1, 1.5 \pm 0.1$
Particle density $\rho_s [g/cm^3]$	2.65	2.644	2.750	1.1
Bulk density $\rho_b [g/cm^3]$	1.4 - 1.6	1.44 - 1.65	1.52	0.65
Void ratio e [-]	1.5	1.4	0.809	0.692
Friction angle $\theta_i$ [°]	34	34	22	25
Cohesion $c [kN/m^2]$	0	0	0	0









Figure 4: Figure 5 Glass beads mixture mixture

Figure 5: Polyamide mixture



Figure 3: Coarse sand

Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 00●0	Results 00000000	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model	Conclusions	References
Silo centrifuge mode	2l					
Inctrumor	atation					

- Load cells record the mass of discharging material entering a collection bucket beneath the silo
- High-speed video records flow behind the front transparent acrylic wall (512 × 384 pixels, 232fps)
- Particle Image Velocimetry analysis is used to quantify the flow fields during discharge
- Pressure pads map the pressure distribution on lateral walls before and during discharge



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure ○○○●	Results 00000000	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model	Conclusions	References

Glass beads, 5g

Glass beads, 15g

Q





Test procedure	R
	•

esults

ow protiles DOOOO Numerical model

clusions Reference

Settlement

## Settlement of material M2: Coarse sand



Figure 6: 10g





Figure 8: Density increase as a result of increased gravity

Figure 7: 50g



Project overview 0000000 lest procedure

Results ○●000000

low profiles

Numerical mode

Conclusions Referen

Pressure pad investigation

# Pressure pad results, model silo with $60^\circ$ hopper, coarse sand (M2)



Figure 9: Silo wall pressures, coarse sand in silo with  $60^{\circ}$  hopper at 50g



Project overview Test procedure **Results** Flow profiles Numerical model Conclusions References 0000000 0000 0000 00000 00000 Pressure pad investigation

## Pressure pad results in model silo with 60 degree hopper



Figure 10: Silo wall pressures, coarse sand in silo with  $60^{\circ}$  hopper at 50g



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results ○OO●○○○○	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model	Conclusions	References
Pressure pad investig	ation					

#### result



Figure 11: Normal wall pressures at 3 times. LHS, coarse sand in silo with 60 degree hopper at 50g



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results 0000●000	Flow profiles	Numerical model	Conclusions	References
Mass flow rate						

## Beverloo correlation

#### Flat-bottomed silos:

 $W_B$  = mass flow rate (kg/s) l = long dimension of outlet D = small dimension of outlet  $\rho_b$  = Bulk density

$$W_B = C 
ho_b \sqrt{g^*} (I - kd) (D - kd)^{1.5}$$

$$k = 1$$
  
 $g^* = applied gravity$   
 $C = 1.03$   
 $d = Average grain diameter$ 

#### Silos with hopper:

when 
$$eta < 90 - \phi_d$$
 :  $W \propto ( aneta an\phi_d)^{-0.35} o W = W_B F(eta,\phi_d)$ 

where  $\phi_d$  is the angle between the stagnant zone boundary and the horizontal,  $\beta$  is the hopper half angle.



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results ○○○○○●○○	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model 0000	Conclusions	References
Discharge times						

### Glass beads



Figure 12: Flat bottomed silo



PIV - Particle image velocimetry

Figure 13: Silo with 30° hopper

Project overview	Test procedure	Results	Flow profiles		Conclusions	References	
		00000000					
Normalised discharge rates							

## Glass beads



#### Figure 14: Silo with flat bottom



PIV - Particle image velocimetry

Figure 15: Silo with  $30^{\circ}$  hopper

Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results ○○○○○○○●	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model	Conclusions	References
ALC: UNLESS OF						

Normalised discharge rates

## Discharge time



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles ●○○○○○	Numerical model 0000	Conclusions	References
PIV example						

## Glass beads, 5g, $W_0 = 30 mm$



19x slower (12fps, original = 232 fps)





## PIV methodology

- The average flow field was calculated between 10% and 40% of discharge.
- The velocity distribution along a horizontal line 112mm above the silo outlet was investigated.



Figure 18: Line 112mm above outlet showing position of velocity profile



Project overview	Test procedure	Flow profiles	Conclusions	References
		000000		

Normalised flow profiles, vertical component

## Glass beads



Figure 20: Silo with 30° hopper





Normalised flow profiles, horizontal component

## Glass beads



Figure 21: Silo with flat bottom

Figure 22: Silo with 30° hopper

Project overview Test procedure Results Flow profiles Numerical model Conclusions References

Flow profile variation with height

## Glass beads, Flat bottomed silo

Figure 23: 1g

PARQEM



Figure 24: 10g

Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles ○○○○○●	Numerical model	Conclusions	References
Flow profile variation	n with height					

## Glass beads, 10g



Figure 25: Silo with flat bottom



Figure 26: Silo with 30 degree hopper

Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles	Numerical model ●○○○	Conclusions	References
Model design						

## Particle size distribution



Figure 27: Particle size distribution of material M2, DIN 1164/58 Norm Sand II Klein (1998)

Figure 28: Particle size distribution in numerical model



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles	Numerical model ○●○○	Conclusions	References
Calibration						

## Triaxial calibration



Figure 29: Variation of friction angle with confining pressure for physical samples of different initial density



Figure 30: Variation of friction angle with confining pressure for DEM samples of different initial density



Project overview

lest procedur

Results

Flow profiles

Numerical model

Conclusions Reference

Numerical Results

## Discharge rates - silo with flat bottom





Figure 33: 30g



Figure 34: 20g



Figure 35: 10g

Project overview 0000000	Test procedure	Results 00000000	Flow profiles	Numerical model ○○○●	Conclusions	References
N						

## Discharge rate comparison



Figure 36: Observed discharge rates compared with Beverloo prediction



Project overview	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model 0000	Conclusions	References

# Conclusions

- Quasi-two-dimensional silo centrifuge model developed
- Four materials tested Fine sand, Coarse sand, Glass beads and Polyamide
- Two silo geometries tested 30° hopper and flat bottom
- Discharge rate is proportional to square root of gravity
- Internal flow velocity is proportional to square root of gravity
- Stagnant zone boundaries are independent of gravity
- Friction angle is independent of gravity



Project overview	Test procedure	Flow profiles	Conclusions	References

#### Thank you

#### john.mathews@boku.ac.at

www.pardem.eu



Project overview 0000000	Test procedure 0000	Results 00000000	Flow profiles 000000	Numerical model	Conclusions	References

## References I

Rose, H. F. and T. Tanaka (1956). In: The Engineer (London), page 208.

Beverloo, W. A., H. A. Leniger, and J. van de Velde (1961). "The Flow of Granular Solids Through Orifices". In: *Chemical Engineering Sciences*, pages 260 –269.



#### Supplemental content





## Theoretical background - stress equivalence

- Treats granular media as continuous.
- Predicts that a 1/N scale centrifuge model will produce the same stresses and strains in the same relative locations as in a prototype.

scale 
$$1/N \implies \begin{cases} & \text{Acceleration} \rightarrow \text{Acceleration} \times N \\ & \text{Length} \rightarrow \text{Length}/N \end{cases}$$





## 5,6. LED array

- 7. Vertical roller
- 8. Collection bucket
- 9. Camera stand
- 10. Data logger





Dimension	Length
Silo height	290mm
Internal width	150mm
Internal Thickness	100mm
Outlet width	30mm

Two arrangements:

Flat-bottomed

Hopper with 30° half-angle

Four centrifugal accelerations corresponding to 1g, 5g, 10g, 15g at the silo outlet. appendix

#### Pressure pad calibration



Figure 37: Typical data from a pressure pad calibration test

Figure 38: Calibration curve for blue pressure sensor using averaged data



Effect of hopper angle on gravity discharge rate Rose and Tanaka<sup>1</sup> reported the following correlation (pre-Beverloo<sup>2</sup>),

$$W = W_B F(\beta, \phi_d) \tag{4}$$

$$F(\beta, \phi_d) = (\tan \beta \tan \phi_d)^{-0.35} \qquad \text{for } \beta < 90 - \phi_d \qquad (5)$$
  

$$F = 1 \qquad \qquad \text{for } \beta > 90 - \phi_d \qquad (6)$$

where  $W_B$  is the discharge rate using the Beverloo correlation  $\phi_d$  can not yet be reliably predicted.

back

<sup>1</sup> Rose and Tanaka, 1956.

**<sup>2</sup>** Revended Aniger, and Velde, 1961.

appendix

## Hour glass theory

$$W = C(K) \frac{\rho_b \sqrt{g^*} (l - kd)(D - kd)^{1.5}}{\sqrt{\sin \alpha}}$$
(7)  

$$C(K) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + K}{2(K - 2)}}$$
(8)  

$$K = \frac{1 + \sin \theta_i}{1 - \sin \theta_i}$$
(9)



## Parameters

Parameter	Value
Wall normal stiffness [N/mm]	1e8
Wall shear stiffness [N/mm]	1e8
Wall friction coefficient [-]	0.4
Outlet width [mm]	20
Periodic thickness [mm]	5.95

Table 3: Wall parameters

Parameter	Value
Particle size [mm]	1.40 - 2.00
Material density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	2655
Ball normal stiffness [N/mm]	1e7
Ball shear stiffness [N/mm]	1e7
Ball friction coefficient [-]	2.2

Table 4: Ball parameters



appendix

## Density increase at increased gravities



Figure 39: Region used to calculate bulk density in silo with 30 degree hopper

Figure 40: Bulk density at different gravities



back